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developed an ultrafast radical clock design that could be used to differentiate between radical and cationic species, and cytochrome P450 oxidation of one such probe substrate, probe 1, demonstrated that both “radical” and “cationic” rearrangements occurred in the hydroxylation process. The qualitative observation of cation-derived products explained the origin of the incongruous “radical lifetimes” found from other radical probe clocks. The quantitative results indicated that the “radical lifetime” in the cytochrome P450 hydroxylation was only 70 femtoseconds (fs), too short a lifetime for a true intermediate. In other words, the “radical” could only exist in the transition state ensemble for a nonsynchronous, concerted hydroxylation (pathway b in Figure 1). Further, the very short lifetime of the radical requires that the carbon and oxygen atoms are situated nearly within bonding distance of one another in the transition state, a conclusion that is supported by the regioselectivity observed in enzyme-catalyzed hydroxylations of methylcubane.

The generality of the result found in the hydroxylation of one probe by one cytochrome P450 isozyme must be tested, but mechanistic studies of cytochrome P450 catalyzed hydroxylations are complicated for several reasons. Many of these enzymes are highly selective in regard to substrates and will not accept large mechanistic probes. When the probe is accepted as a substrate, oxidation often occurs at multiple sites. Further complicating the situation, the less exclusive hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes from mammals are membrane-bound, and the structures of these enzymes are not yet known.

1 Phenyl groups in the cyclopropane probes provide the driving force for the very fast radical ring opening reactions ($k > 10^{11}$ s$^{-1}$ at ambient temperature) that are necessary to time events in transition states, but the phenyl groups in probes such as 2 are oxidized to undesired phenols by cytochrome P450 enzymes and by methane monooxygenase systems. We envisioned substitution of the para position of the aromatic rings with nonoxidizable groups as a means to avoid phenol production and possibly to permit substituent effect studies. We report here the syntheses of diastereomerically pure trans-1-methyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)cyclopropane (3) and the possible products from enzymecatalyzed oxidation of probe 3 at the methyl position, resolution of 3 to high enantiomeric enrichment, and cytochrome P450 catalyzed hydroxylations of racemic 3 and its enantiomers.

---

Results

Synthesis of Probe 3. Compound 3 was reported previously from a carbenoid addition to the appropriate substituted styrene. This preparation afforded a mixture of diastereomers and was impractical for our use; in addition to a diastereomer separation, alternative routes to the possible oxidation products of the probe would be required. Therefore, a synthesis of 3 was designed which would yield the trans diastereomer and some of its possible oxidation products (Scheme 1).

The commercially available 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzaldehyde was first converted to the Weinreb amide 4 by Wittig defination with N-methoxy-N-methyl-2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetamide. Compound 4 was prepared so that the cyclopropanation protocol developed by Rodrigues could be employed. Accordingly, 4 was treated with the ylide derived from trimethylsulfonium iodide and sodium hydride to afford 5.

Amide 5 proved to be quite versatile in that it could be converted into three of the possible oxidation products of probe 3. Carbonyl 6 was prepared by treatment of 5 with lithium aluminum hydride. Aldehyde 7 was readily prepared by treatment of 5 with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBALH) at low temperature; this compound was found to be quite unstable and was prepared immediately prior to use. Saponification of 5 by Gassman’s “anhydrous hydroxide” procedure afforded acid 8. To

---

(15) In their excellent review of heme-containing oxygenases, Sono et al. (ref 7) state that the lifetimes we report contain calculation errors. We note that lifetimes are defined as $r = 1/k$. Sono et al. apparently confused half-lives ($t_{\frac{1}{2}} = \ln 2/k$) with lifetimes.
diastereomeric purity of 12 prepared from each enantiomerically enriched acid 8. From integration of these signals from the amides prepared from the enantiomerically enriched samples, the sample from (−)-8 had a 99:1 diastereomer ratio, and the sample from (+)-8 had a 97.5:2.5 diastereomer ratio.

Care was taken to avoid a diastereomeric separation in preparation of the samples of amide 12, but the possibility exists that the amide-forming reaction led to a kinetic resolution. However, such a resolution apparently did not occur on the basis of the observation that the optical rotations of the samples of resolved acids 8 were consistent with the % ee values assigned from the NMR spectra of the diastereomeric amides 12. Specifically, the sample of (−)-8 had [α]D0 = +260.2°, and (−)-8 had [α]D0 = −267.9° at comparable concentrations in CHCl3. These two rotations give absolute rotations of +274° and −273°, respectively, when corrected for the diastereomeric ratios obtained from the NMR analyses of amides 12.

The resolved acids 8 were converted to probes 3 by lithium aluminum hydride reduction to alcohols 6 followed by the mesylate formation—reduction protocol used for preparation of the racemic sample. In these sequences, intermediates were not purified, and we assume that the samples of probe 3 had the same % ee values as the original samples of acids 8.

The various possible oxidation products from probe 3 were readily separated from one another by GC and had characteristic mass spectral fragmentation patterns that permitted conclusive identifications. The availability of the authentic samples also allowed us to run a number of control reactions to determine stability of the products to the enzyme reaction conditions and analytical conditions.

Enzyme-Catalyzed Oxidations. Much of the mechanistic work with cytochrome P450 enzymes has involved mammalian hepatic enzymes induced by drug treatment. One of the rat liver enzymes induced by phenobarbital treatment (CYP2B1)26 is known to be a relatively non-selective oxidation catalyst and has been employed widely in mechanistic studies, and that isozyme was employed in this work.

The hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes require a reductase enzyme which delivers reducing equivalents from NADPH to the substrate—enzyme complex and to the subsequently formed substrate—enzyme—superoxide complex. The reductase enzyme is unstable and undergoes proteolysis to an inactive form even when stored at −80°C. Fortunately, the reductase enzyme has been expressed in E. coli, thereby facilitating the production of large amounts of enzyme with a significant decrease in time and cost involved.27 Most of the oxidations con-
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duct in this work employed expressed reductase. In independent studies, we found that CYP2B1 hydroxylations of probe 2 conducted with expressed reductase gave results comparable to those obtained with rat liver reductase.

Racemic probe 3 and both enantiomers of 3 were oxidized by CYP2B1 in reactions conducted using a reconstituted system consisting of the cytochrome P450 and reductase enzymes, NADPH, and dilauroyl phosphatidylcholine (DLPC). Complete results are given in the Supporting Information; Table 1 contains a summary where each listed value is the average from three independent experiments. The experiments can be divided into two groups depending on the substrate concentrations used. In Table 1 we have given the average ratios of cyclic to acyclic products found in the experiments using high and low substrate concentrations. One notes that the differences in product ratios for the two enantiomers of 3 were reproducible.

The only products observed in the enzyme catalyzed oxidations of 3 were the cyclic alcohol 6, the aldehyde 7, and the ring-opened alcohol 10. Acid 8 and ketone 11 were not detected at a limit of about 1% relative to the amounts of products observed. The enzyme turnover values were a function of the concentrations of substrate 3 present, but turnover numbers in oxidations of the two enantiomers of 3 were similar when the enantiomers were present in comparable amounts. Importantly, we did not observe products at GC retention times expected for phenols from 3.
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The total amount of oxidized substrate was 0.6–2.5% in the high substrate concentration experiments and 11–23% in the low concentration experiments. Interestingly, the enzyme turnover values were larger when substrate 3 was present in low concentrations. This effect could arise from an inhibitory property of the substrate for the enzyme, but it might have a more mundane origin. The isozyme is membrane-bound in nature, and the probe at higher concentrations might have disrupted the micelles in the reconstituted system. In any event, the amount of enantiomerically enriched substrate oxidized in the low substrate concentration experiments far exceeded the amount of minor enantiomer contamination in the enriched samples thus ensuring that we were observing products from hydroxylation of each enantiomer.

A series of control reactions was performed to determine the stability of the products to the reaction condi-

| Table 1. Results of CYP2B1 Hydroxylation of Probe 3 |
|---------|-------|-------------|------|------|
| substrate | concentration | C/A | % conversion | turnover |
| (--)-3  | high | 4.6 | 0.9 | 154 |
| (+)-3  | 6.9 | 0.9 | 145 |
| (--)-3  | 4.3 | 0.8 | 144 |
| (+)-3  | 4.0 | 13 | 280 |
| (--)-3  | 5.3 | 14 | 280 |
| (+)-3  | 2.6 | 19 | 330 |

a Average ratio of cyclic products (6 + 7) to acyclic product 10.

See the Supporting Information for a complete table of results.

b Average % conversion of substrate.

c Average catalyst turnover.

d Averages from three sets of experiments containing 7.5–14.5 µmol of substrate.

e Averages from three sets of experiments containing 1.05–1.30 µmol of substrate.

The formation of aldehyde 7 in the cytochrome P450 oxidation of probe 3 is noteworthy. Oxidation of alcohol 6 to aldehyde 7 in the control reactions demonstrated that 6 was a substrate, but we and others had not previously observed aldehyde formation when methylcyclopropane probes were oxidized by CYP2B1 in low conversion experiments. Neverthless, Zaks and Dodds reported that probe 2 was oxidized to the cyclic aldehyde and cyclic acid in addition to the cyclic alcohol by chloroperoxidase from the fungus Caldoromyces furmago, a heme-containing enzyme that is closely related to cytochrome P450 enzymes. Apparently, in comparison to hydrocarbon probe 3, alcohol 6 is selectively bound and/or oxidized by CYP2B1. It is possible that the second

| Table 2. Results of Product Stability Control Reactions |
|---------|-------|-------------|
| set | substrate | % yields of products |
| A | 6 | 25 | 20 |
| B | 7 | 28 | 68 |
| C | 10 | 15 | 85 |
| 2 | 6 | 28 | 85 |
| 7 | 10 | 15 | 96 |
| 8 | 10 | 15 | 82 |

| Table 3. Results of Product Recovery Studies |
|---------|-------|-------------|
| experiment | substrate | % recovery |
| A | 6 | 64 | 85 |
| B | 7 | 28 | 68 |
| C | 10 | 15 | 85 |

A mixture of 6, 7, and 10 was studied in each experiment. The experiments were conducted in a manner similar to the enzyme oxidations described in the Experimental Section with the following changes. In A, no enzymes were present. In B, NADPH was omitted. In C, a fully competent oxidation system containing 12.2 µmol of trans-2- (tert-butoxycarbonyl)-1-methylcyclopropane was employed. Amount of substrate introduced. Absolute % yields of recovered products as determined by GC analysis against a standard.

oxidation of alcohol 6 occurred in competition with release of this product from the enzyme.

Discussion

The oxygen rebound mechanism for cytochrome P450 catalyzed hydroxylation of an unactivated C–H position is shown in path a in Figure 1. In this mechanism, a radical is formed as a discrete, albeit possibly short-lived, intermediate. From the results with probe 1, we concluded that a discrete radical intermediate could not be formed.14 The lifetime15 of the “radical” was only about 70 fs, which is too short a lifetime for an intermediate, and the “radical” could only be a component of the transition state in a concerted insertion process (Figure 1, path b). On the basis of the observation that cation-derived products were formed in the hydroxylation of probe 1, we reasoned that previous studies with radical probes that gave rearranged products gave misleading results concerning the radical lifetime. Specifically, we suggested that in cases where the skeletal reorganization occurring for radical and cationic rearrangements is the same, that the rearranged products result from both species. Two possible routes to cationic species are discussed later.

Our mechanistic conclusions are open to criticism. One important point that must be considered is the possibility that the enzyme can dramatically alter the rate constants for ring opening of the radical. In fact, we specifically raised this point in an early report of the application of hypersensitive radical probe 2 in hydroxylations catalyzed by a methane monoxygenase system.17 If the enzyme affects the radical ring opening rate constants, presumably by steric compression that forces the aromatic ring into poor alignment with the breaking C–C bond of the cyclopentyl ring, then the quantitative aspects of the studies are vitiated. However, a preponderance of evidence suggests that any influence of the enzyme on the rate constants for ring opening of cyclopropylcarbinyl radicals can only be minor. Among the more important observations in this regard are the facts (1) that enforced nonoptimal alignments of the aromatic ring with the breaking C–C bond in radicals 13–15 result in only minor differences in the rate constants for the fragmentation reactions in comparison to the parent radical 1629,31 (2) that the constrained probe 17, in which the aryl ring cannot be twisted appreciably by the enzyme, is hydroxylated by cytochrome P450 with an apparent radical lifetime of 80 fs,12 and (3) that both enantiomers of probe 2, which should interact differently with the chiral enzyme, give similar product ratios in cytochrome P450 hydroxylation studies.11

The mechanistic probe we are testing in this work, compound 3, provides supporting evidence for the insertion mechanism of cytochrome P450 catalyzed hydroxylation even though it suffers from the same limitation as most of the other probes that have been employed in such studies in that both radical and cationic cyclopropylcarbinyl species will fragment in the same manner. In independent studies that will be reported in detail later, we have measured rate constants for ring opening of the radical 18 using benzeneselenol trapping as a competing reaction,30 the same method as employed in calibrations of ring opening rate constants for other 2-arylcyclopropylcarbinyl radicals.29,31 The rate constant for ring opening of 18 at 37 °C is 9 × 1011 s−1, about three times greater than that for ring opening of parent radical 16. The average ratio of cyclic to ring-opened products obtained with probe 3 was about 4.5, which requires that the minimum limit for the rate constant for radical capture in the hydroxylation is 4 × 1012 s−1 at 37 °C. Thus, the lifetime of the radical must be 250 fs or less at this temperature. The minimum rate constant for capture is only slightly smaller than the rate constant for decomposition of a transition state calculated from conventional transition state theory (6 × 1012 s−1), and the free energy of activation for radical capture, a defining requirement for an intermediate, must be less than 0.3 kcal/mol. We emphasize that the 250 fs lifetime is the limiting case wherein one assumes that no cationic rearrangement occurred.

The discussion in the above paragraph is founded on the premise that the radical rearrangement rate constants are not strongly influenced by the enzyme. The studies of hydroxylation of the two enantiomers of probe 3 provide additional evidence that this assumption is reasonable. As was the case with hydroxylation of the enantiomers of probe 2,11 the product ratios for the enantiomers of 3 were only slightly (but reproducibly, see below) different. On the basis of the observation that the constrained radicals 13–15 fragment with nearly the same rate constants, one must conclude that truly dramatic enzymic constraint would be required to reduce the rate constants for ring opening of the radicals by more than 2 orders of magnitude necessary to bring the results into alignment with a radical lifetime in the enzyme-catalyzed hydroxylation of 70000 fs (the value one calculates from the results of hydroxylation of bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane9). The likelihood that the chiral enzyme could have such a dramatic effect on the rate constants for fragmentation of both of the enantiomeric radicals 18 seems remote as an independent fact. Taken together with the other evidence that the enzyme does not influence the cyclopropylcarbinyl radical ring-opening rate constants strongly, we believe the premise that such an effect occurs is unreasonable.

If the mechanistic model for cytochrome P450 hydroxylation we have proposed, an insertion process with a competing pathway to cationic species, is reasonable, then the question of the origin of the cationic species remains unresolved. The following possible routes to cation formation have been considered (Figure 2): (1) an oxidation event competes with collapse of the “radical” in the transition state of the hydroxylation and (2) the first formed product is not an alcohol but rather a protonated alcohol formed by insertion of “OH” into the C–H bond instead of “O”, and proton transfer from the
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Figure 2. Two possible routes for cation formation in cytochrome P450 hydroxylation. In the upper scheme, electron transfer competes with collapse in the transition state, and the alkyl cation formed by oxidation can rearrange. In the lower scheme, hydroxylation occurs before release of water, and the first-formed oxidation product is a protonated alcohol that can rearrange in competition with proton transfer.

Protonated alcohol competes with loss of water in a solvolytic-type reaction. Taken with previous observations, the results of the present study appear to be more consistent with the latter pathway as discussed below, and this ultimately leads to a conclusion that the active oxidant in the cytochrome P450 hydroxylation might be an iron—hydrogen peroxide complex (as shown in Figure 2) rather than the commonly assumed iron—oxy intermediate. We caution that such a conclusion is speculative and that our results are only suggestive in this regard, but we believe the point warrants presentation on the basis of the current interest in the mechanism of cytochrome P450 hydroxylation.

There is some evidence that cytochrome P450 enzymes with iron bound to an alkoxy or hydroxyl group can oxidize a carbon radical to a cation. For example, prostacyclin (PGI₂) and thromboxane (TxA₂) synthases are cytochrome P450 enzymes that might produce their respective products from prostaglandin PGH₂ by routes that include oxidations of carbon radical intermediates by iron—alkoxy moieties. In a similar manner, an iron—hydroxy species might oxidize a DNA radical to a cation in one of the competing reactions resulting in DNA strand scission by the anticancer agent bleomycin. To accommodate such an oxidation with the results of many cyclopropane probe studies, however, one would need to rationalize that oxidation of a cyclopropylcarbonyl radical with an aryl group at C2 is considerably more favorable than oxidation of a simple cyclopropylcarbonyl radical, which seems to be unlikely. This rationalization is necessary because simple cyclopropane probes such as methylcyclopropane are hydroxylated at the methyl position without formation of ring-opened products. An important point casting further doubt on the radical oxidation route to a cation is the report that cytochrome P450 hydroxylation of isopropylcyclopropane to the tertiary alcohol occurs without formation of rearranged products; the putative tertiary cation from this species is much more stable than the primary cation that would have to be produced from methylcyclopropane probes.

In the alternative route to rearranged products, insertion of “OH” into the C—H bond, the first formed products of the hydroxylation process would be a protonated alcohol and hydroxide ligated to iron. Rapid proton transfer to hydroxide would give the alcohol product and water. The attraction of this pathway is that one could postulate that aryl-substituted cyclopropane probes would have an anchimeric assistance route for ionic fragmentation not available to the simple cyclopropane probes (i.e. 19). In addition, there is computational support for a low energy pathway for insertion of OH⁺ into C—H bonds from simple models for the heme—iron—H₂O₂ complex such as the hydperoxonium ion (H₂O₂—OH⁺)24; one awaits advanced computations of reactions of an Fe—H₂O₂ complex. The problem with this pathway is that it apparently requires that the insertion occurs before loss of water from the enzyme—H₂O₂ complex as shown in Figure 2. Although the precise timing of the oxidation step relative to loss of water in a cytochrome P450 hydroxylation has not been established, it is commonly thought that the actual oxidizing entity is an iron—oxygen species similar to compound I observed in decompositions of H₂O₂ by peroxidase enzymes; that is, loss of water precedes oxidation.

If one again assumes that the insertion model of Figure 1, path b is correct and further assumes that the radical lifetime in the transition state for P450 insertion is essentially constant for hydroxylation of any probe, then the amount of rearranged products obtained in probe studies can be divided into the amount resulting from the radical process and that from the ionic process. On the basis of the rate constants for ring opening of the cyclopropylcarbonyl radicals, three times as much rearranged product should have been found from hydroxylation of probe 3 as was found in the oxidations of probe 2, but, in fact, more acyclic product was obtained in the oxidations of probe 2. This qualitative observation indicates that the ionic route to rearranged products was more important in the case of probe 2.

One can attempt to quantitate the results by assuming that the radical capture rate constant in the hydroxylation insertion transition state is 1.5 × 10^13 s⁻¹, the value obtained in study of probe 1. In that case, the ratio of cyclic to acyclic products resulting solely from the radical route would be 97:3 for probe 2 and 92:8 for probe 3. Hydroxylation of probe 2 gave ratios of cyclic to acyclic products that averaged about 75:25,11 and the ratio found in this work for probe 3 averaged about 82:18. Thus, the amount of ionic rearrangement that apparently occurred with probe 2 was about 2.5 times as great as that with probe 3.

Both of the possible pathways we envision for production of cationic products (Figure 2) could exhibit a response to the trifluoromethyl substituent on the aromatic ring. This substituent has a stabilizing effect on a radical (witness the 3-fold acceleration in ring opening of radical 18 relative to radical 16), and it is strongly destabilizing for a cation. Nevertheless, a remote effect on the oxidation potential of the radical center on the methylene group in 18 (as opposed to the benzyl radical center in the rearranged product) might be expected to

be quite small, and we have already noted that the radical oxidation pathway is inconsistent with the observation that hydroxylation of the secondary and tertiary positions of simple alkylcyclopropanes proceeds with no rearrangement.\textsuperscript{9,10} Alternatively, the rate of the arylnassisted solvolysis of protonated alcohol (i.e. 19) should be reduced by the CF\textsubscript{3} group. On balance, the results appear to be more in accord with a solvolysis pathway than a radical oxidation pathway. This leads us to the speculation that water dissociation from the enzyme–H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} complex might not precede the oxidation event.

Finally, we comment on the slight differences in product ratios observed for the two enantiomers of 3. Similar small but reproducible differences in product ratios were also found in hydroxylation of probe 2.\textsuperscript{11} We argued above that drastic effects of the enzyme on the unimolecular radical rearrangement rate constants are not expected; essentially, unimolecular collapse of the transition state ensemble competes with unimolecular radical rearrangement, and neither process should be influenced significantly by the enzyme. However, there is little reason to believe that minor kinetic effects for cation production will not be found in the diastereomeric ensembles of the two enantiomers with the chiral enzyme because one of the competing reactions in each possible route to the cation is “bimolecular” in nature. If radical oxidation occurs, then the electron transfer must compete with the collapse of the transition structure that results in alcohol product. If assisted solvolysis of a protonated alcohol is the origin of cationic products, then this solvolysis step must compete with proton transfer from protonated alcohol to hydroxide bound to iron. The kinetics of both electron transfer and proton transfer events will be affected by the distances between the reactive centers. Thus, we propose that differences in the assembly of the diastereomeric enzyme–substrate complexes result in small differences in the distances between reactive centers that ultimately affect the rate of a competition reaction and thus the amount of cation-derived products.

**Conclusions**

The hypersensitive radical probe 3 containing the p-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl group functions well in studies of hydroxylation by the cytochrome P450 isozyme CYP2B1. Good yields of products from oxidation at the methyl position were obtained from both enantiomers of 3, and aromatic ring oxidation apparently did not occur to an appreciable extent. The similar product ratios obtained from the two enantiomers of 3 provides more evidence that the enzyme does not have a significant effect on the rate constants for radical rearrangements of ary1-substituted cyclopropanecarbonyl radicals. The small amount of rearranged product formed is consistent with an insertion pathway for the enzyme-catalyzed hydroxylation with a competing process that involves production of a cationic species. From comparison of the amount of apparent cation-derived product produced in hydroxylation of 3 to that produced in hydroxylation of the analogous probe not containing the trifluoromethyl substituent (2), a solvolytic-type pathway with archimeric assistance from the aromatic group is suggested for production of the cation-derived rearranged products. Such a pathway suggests that the first formed species in the hydroxylation reaction is a protonated alcohol formed by “OH…” insertion into a C–H bond, and this leads to speculation that the enzyme–H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} complex might be the active oxidizing moiety rather than the “iron–oxo” intermediate formed by loss of water from the complex.

**Experimental Section**

**General Methods.** Commercially available reagents were purchased form either the Sigma or Aldrich Chemical Co. unless otherwise noted and were used as received. All moisture sensitive reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under a nitrogen atmosphere. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether were distilled under a nitrogen atmosphere over sodium/benzophenone ketyl. Methylene chloride was distilled under a nitrogen atmosphere over phosphorus pentoxide. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was distilled in vacuo from calcium hydride.

NMR spectra were acquired on either a Varian Gemini 300 or a General Electric QE-300 spectrometer. Gas chromatography analyses were performed using flame ionization detection on a Varian 3400 chromatograph (15 m × 0.54 mm bonded phase Carbowax column, Alltech). Gas chromatography/mass spectral (GC/MS) analyses were performed using a Hewlett-Packard Model 5890 GC interfaced to a Hewlett-Packard Model 5971 mass selective detector (30 m × 0.25 mm capillary bonded phase Carbowax column, Alltech). High-resolution mass spectral analyses were performed by the Central Instrumentation Facility at Wayne State University (Detroit, MI). Melting points were determined using a Unimel capillary melting point apparatus (Thomas-Hoover) and are uncorrected. Radial chromatography was performed on a Chromatotron Model 7294T (Harrison Research Corp.) using plates coated with silica gel (Merck) with gypsum binder and fluorescent indicator.

**Enzymatic oxidation reactions** were performed in reconstituted systems using the purified isozyme CYP2B1\textsuperscript{19} and expressed reductase\textsuperscript{27} at 37 °C in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, containing 1 mM desferrioxamine and 0.075 M KCl, with a total reaction volume of 2 mL. A mixture of 0.6 nmol of CYP2B1 and 1.2 nmol of reductase in buffer was allowed to stand on ice for 5 min. A suspension of DLPC (0.96 nmol) in buffer was sonicated. The enzyme and micelle mixtures were combined, and this mixture was allowed to stand on ice for 5 min. The mixture was diluted with buffer, and the substrate was added either neat (high substrate concentration) or as a solution in ca. 10 μL of methanol (low substrate concentration). The reaction mixture was incubated for 2 min at 37 °C, and the reaction was initiated by the addition of NADPH (final concentration of 1.2 mM). The reaction mixture was warmed gently at 37 °C for 3 min, and then extracted with methylene chloride (3 × 2 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous MgSO\textsubscript{4} and filtered through a plug of glass wool. An internal standard was added, and the solution was concentrated under a slow stream of nitrogen at room temperature to a final volume of approximately 0.2 mL. Quantitation of the product ratios was performed using gas chromatography with flame ionization detection, and product identities were determined by comparison with authentic samples using selected ion monitoring (SIM) GC/MS analysis.

Control reactions in Table 2 were performed by dissolving the substrates in methanol and adding aliquots of these solutions to two reaction mixtures prepared as above, one reaction mixture containing enzymes and one without the enzymes. The reactions were conducted and extracted as above. The amounts of recovered material were determined by comparison of the ratios of the GC areas of the compounds of interest to those of standards in the two reactions.

**N-Methoxy-N-nitro-trans-4-(trifluoromethyl)cinnamamide (4).** A solution of 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzaldehyde (10.0 g, 57.4 mmol) and N-methoxy-N-nitro-2-(triphenylphosphanylidene)acetamide (23.1 g, 63.6 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (150 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The solvent was

then removed in vacuo, and the crude product was chromatographed on silica gel (40% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford 4 (14.1 g, 54.3 mmol, 95%) as a white solid. Mp 50–52 °C. 1H NMR (CDCl3): δ 3.32 (3H, s), 3.78 (3H, s), 7.10 (1H, d, J = 15.9 Hz), 7.65 (4H, dd, J1 = 12.0 Hz, J2 = 9.0 Hz), 7.74 (1H, d, J = 15.9 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl3): δ 32.5, 61.9, 123.8 (q, J = 276.9 Hz), 125.6 (2C, q, J = 3.7 Hz), 128.1 (2C), 131.3 (q, J = 11.5 Hz) ppm. HRMS: calculated for C18H18F2NO5, 209.0812; found, 209.0820.

N-Methoxy-N-methyl-trans-2-(4-trifluoromethyl)phenyl)cyclopropanecarboxamide (5). A solution of trifluoromethylsulfoxonium iodide (24.1 g, 110 mmol) in DMSO (100 mL) was added portion wise over 15 min. After methylsulfoxonium iodide (2 g, 108 mmol) in DMSO (100 mL) was added via cannula, the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, during which time it became homogeneous. A solution of 4 (14.1 g, 54.3 mmol) in DMSO (50 mL) was added via cannula. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring it into saturated aqueous NH4Cl soln (500 mL). The mixture was extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 × 200 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (200 mL), dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was washed with brine (200 mL), dried over MgSO4, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was chromatographed on silica gel (40% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford 5 (12.8 g, 46.8 mmol, 86%) as a clear, colorless oil that decomposed on standing. 1H NMR (CDCl3): δ 1.96 (1H, m), 3.66 (2H, d, J = 4.2 Hz), 4.25 (1H, m), 2.38–2.46 (1H, m), 2.50 (1H, d, J = 9.3 Hz), 1.39 (1H, bs), 3.65 (3H, s), 7.18 (2H, d, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.48 (2H, d, J = 9.0 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl3): δ 16.5, 21.8, 25.2, 32.4, 61.5, 124.1 (q, J = 270.3 Hz), 125.2 (2C, q, J = 3.3 Hz), 126.3 (2C), 128.3 (q, J = 32.4 Hz), 145.0, 172.2 ppm. HRMS: calculated for C18H18F2NO5, 273.0977; found, 273.0974.

(trans-2-(4-Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)cyclopropyl)methanol (6). A solution of 5 (2.0 g, 7.3 mmol) in THF (75 mL) was cooled to 0 °C, and LiAlH4 (0.284 g, 1.31 mmol) was added. This mixture was stirred for 2 h, after which time the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of 30% aqueous NH4Cl soln (500 mL). The mixture was extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 × 200 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (200 mL), dried over MgSO4, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was dissolved in dry THF (75 mL). The combined organic solutions were concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was dissolved in additional THF (100 mL). The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo to afford 6 (1.0 g, 4.5 mmol, 62%) as a white solid. Mp 61 °C. 1H NMR (CDCl3): δ 1.01–1.06 (2H, m), 1.41 (1H, bs), 1.46–1.57 (1H, m), 1.87–1.93 (1H, m), 3.66 (2H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 7.17 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.52 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl3): δ 14.3, 21.1, 25.9, 66.0, 124.3 (q, J = 269.5 Hz), 125.2 (2C), 125.9 (q, J = 269.5 Hz), 128.3 (q, J = 32.3 Hz), 146.9 ppm. HRMS: calculated for C18H19F2NO2, 216.0762; found, 216.0759.

The enantiomerically enriched carboxylic acids 8 were prepared by P-30 Lipase® (Amano International Enzyme Co., from Pseudomonas cepacia, formerly classified as Pseudomonas fluorescens) hydrolysis of the corresponding ethyl esters by the method previously reported.11 The reaction was approximately 50% complete after 8 days. From 9.0 (34.9 mmol) of the ester, 2.0 g (87 mmol) of acid and 4.2 g (163 mmol) of ester were isolated following the standard workup. The ester was saponified, and the acid was isolated. Three recrystallizations of the acids as their dehydroxybutyramine salts (ethyl acetate) afforded optically enriched acids. The enantiomeric excesses were determined by derivatizing the acids with [(S)-phenylglycinamide, 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)pyrrolidinylbutyric acid hydrochloride, and 4-(dimethylaminomethyl)pyrrolidinyl in CH2Cl2. 1H NMR analysis (500 MHz, CDCl3) of the resulting amides showed that the diastereomeric mixtures were 97.5:2.5 and 1:99. The optical rotations of the acids were [α]D25 +262.7° (c = 2.26, CHCl3) and [α]D25 −267.9° (c = 2.18, CHCl3).

(trans-1-Methyl-2-(4-trifluoromethyl)phenyl)cyclopropyl)cyclopropanone (3). A solution of 6 (0.284 g, 1.31 mmol) in THF (8 mL) was cooled to −30 °C. To this was added sequentially via syringe triethylamine (0.45 mL, 3.23 mmol) and methanesulfonyl chloride (0.11 mL, 1.42 mmol). The mixture was stirred at −30 °C for 30 min and then cooled to −78 °C. A solution of lithium triethylborohydride (1.0 M in THF, 4.0 mL, 4 mmol) was added via syringe. The mixture was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature and was stirred for 13 h. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of 30% H2O2 (2 mL) and 15% aqueous NaOH (2 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h and then cooled to room temperature. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (3 × 25 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO4, and concentrated in vacuo at 0 °C. The crude material was purified by radial chromatography (pentane) to afford 3 (0.209 g, 1.04 mmol, 79%) as a clear, colorless oil. 1H NMR (CDCl3): δ 0.80–0.86 (1H, m), 0.94 (1H, dt, J1 = 8.1 Hz, J2 = 4.8 Hz), 1.06–1.16 (1H, m), 1.20 (3H, d, J = 5.7 Hz), 1.62 (1H, dt, J1 = 8.7 Hz, J2 = 4.5 Hz), 7.10 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.48 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl3): δ 18.3, 18.9, 18.9, 24.2, 124.6 (q, J = 270.2 Hz), 125.1 (2C, q, J = 29.2 Hz), 125.6 (2C), 127.4 (q, J = 31.6 Hz), 146.8 ppm. HRMS: calculated for C18H19F2O2, 290.0813; found, 290.0808.

The enantiomerically enriched samples of compounds (+)-3 and (−)-3 were synthesized from the corresponding methanols (6) by the same method and obtained in 80% and 86% yields, respectively.

Methyl trans-2-(4-Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)cyclopropanecarboxylate (9). To a solution of 5 (0.25 g, 1.1 mmol)
in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was added dropwise a solution of diazo-
methane in ether until a slight yellow color persisted. The
mixture was stirred open to the atmosphere for 6 h. The
solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude material was
chromatographed on silica gel (10% ethyl acetate in hexanes)
to afford 7 (0.25 g, 1.0 mmol, 94%) as a clear, colorless oil. ¹H
NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.34 (1H, ddd, J₁ = 8.4 Hz, J₂ = 6.3 Hz, J₃ =
4.5 Hz), 1.65 (1H, ddd, J₁ = 9.0 Hz, J₂ = 5.4 Hz, J₃ = 4.8 Hz),
1.94 (1H, ddd, J₁ = 8.4 Hz, J₂ = 5.4 Hz, J₃ = 4.2 Hz), 2.56
(1H, ddd, J₁ = 9.3 Hz, J₂ = 6.3 Hz, J₃ = 3.9 Hz), 3.72 (3H, s),
7.17 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.51 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR
(CDCl₃): δ 17.1, 24.2, 25.7, 51.9, 124.1 (q, J_C-F = 270.2 Hz),
125.4 (2C, q, J_C-F = 3.3 Hz), 126.4 (2C), 128.7 (q, J_C-F =
32.0 Hz), 144.2, 173.2. HRMS: calcd for C₁₂H₁₁F₃O₂, 244.0711;
found, 244.0715.

1-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)but-3-ene-1-ol (10) was
prepared by the previously reported method. ²³ ¹H NMR
(CDCl₃): δ 2.14 (1H, d, J = 3.3 Hz), 2.41–2.60 (2H, m), 4.79–
4.84 (1H, m), 5.15–5.22 (2H, m), 5.73–5.87 (1H, m), 7.48 (2H,
d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.61 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ
43.5, 72.66, 118.48, 124.1 (q, J_C-F = 270.4 Hz), 125.1 (2C, q,
J_C-F = 3.2 Hz), 126.0 (2C), 129.5 (q, J_C-F = 32.4 Hz), 133.6,
147.8.

1-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)but-3-ene-1-one (11a) and
trans-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)but-2-en-1-one (11b).
A solution of 10 (0.53 g, 2.45 mmol) and PCC (1.00 g, 4.65
mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) was stirred at room temperature
for 8 h. The suspension was then diluted with ether (75 mL)
and filtered through a pad of silica gel. The organic solution
was concentrated in vacuo, and the crude material was purified
by radial chromatography (15% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to
afford a 9:1 mixture of 11a and 11b (0.44 g, 2.05 mmol, 84%).

The ratio of the product mixture was determined by gas
chromatography. This mixture was then dissolved in THF (25
mL) and triethylamine (0.5 mL), and the solution was heated
at reflux for 14 h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo,
and the crude material was purified by radial chromatography
(15% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford a 1:19 mixture of 11a
and 11b (0.14 g, 0.654 mmol, 32%). Compounds 11 decom-
posed on standing.

Characterization data for 11a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.78
(2H, dt, J₁ = 6.6 Hz, J₂ = 1.2 Hz), 5.20–5.29 (2H, m), 6.01–
6.14 (1H, m), 7.74 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.07 (2H, d, J =
8.4 Hz). MS: calcd for C₁₁H₉F₃O, 214; (M)⁺ found at m/e = 214.

Characterization data for 11b: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.02
(3H, dd, J₁ = 7.2 Hz, J₂ = 1.8 Hz), 6.87 (1H, dq, J₁ = 15.6 Hz,
J₂ = 1.8 Hz), 7.12 (1H, dq, J₁ = 15.6 Hz, J₂ = 6.6 Hz), 7.72
(2H, dd, J₁ = 8.7 Hz, J₂ = 0.6 Hz), 8.00 (2H, dd, J₁ = 8.7 Hz,
J₂ = 0.6 Hz). MS: calcd for C₁₁H₉F₃O, 214; (M)⁺ found at m/e
= 214.

Supporting Information Available: Table of results from
CYP2B1 hydroxylation of probe 3. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of
3–10, and ¹H NMR spectra of mixtures of 11a/11b (19 pages).
This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, im-
mediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the
journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current
masthead page for ordering information.
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